arXiv:1109.1550v2 [math.DG] 1 May 2012

The Yang-Mills flow and the Atiyah-Bott formula on compact Kähler manifolds

Adam Jacob

Abstract

We study the Yang-Mills flow on a holomorphic vector bundle E over a compact Kähler manifold X. Along a solution of the flow, we show the trace of the curvature $\Lambda F(A_t)$ approaches in L^2 an endomorphism with constant eigenvalues given by the slopes of the quotients from the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E. This proves a sharp lower bound for the Hermitian-Yang-Mills functional and thus the Yang-Mills functional, generalizing to arbitrary dimension a formula of Atiyah and Bott first proven on Riemann surfaces. Furthermore, we show any reflexive extension to all of X of the limiting bundle E_∞ is isomorphic to $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$, verifying a conjecture of Bando and Siu.

1 Introduction

Given a holomorphic vector bundle E, the Yang-Mills flow provides a natural approach to constructing Yang-Mills connections on E. Aside from their original application to particle physics, Yang-Mills connections are of fundamental interest due to how they reflect the topology of the original bundle. If X is a complex surface, then the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections reflects deep topological information about X (see [9]). Specifically for us, on a compact Kähler manifold X of general dimension, if A is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on E then the trace of the curvature ΛF_A will have locally constant eigenvalues determined by the Harder-Narasimhan type of E. In fact any Yang-Mills connection will decompose E into a direct sum of stable bundles whose slopes corresponds to the slopes of the quotients of this natural filtration [14]. Because of this behavior one would expect existence of Yang-Mills connections to be intimately related to the slope and stability of the original bundle, and this expectation ends up being correct.

If E is indecomposable, a Yang-Mills connection A must be Hermitian-Einstein, meaning that $\Lambda F_A = \lambda I$ for a topological constant λ, and we know such a connection can exists if and only if E is stable in the sense of Mumford-Takemoto. This famous existence theorem for Hermitian-Einstein connections was first proven for curves by Narasimhan and Seshadri [16], then by Donaldson for algebraic surfaces [6], and in arbitrary dimension by Uhlenbeck and Yau [23]. And while Uhlenbeck and Yau proved this Theorem using the method of continuity, Donaldson utilized the parabolic approach of the Yang-Mills flow, showing the flow converges to a smooth limit if E is stable. His approach is interesting in that it establishes a relationship between convergence of a parabolic PDE and the algebraic-geometric condition of stability, and this approach has been extended to many other cases, most notably to all smooth algebraic varieties by Donaldson [7], to Higgs bundles by Simpson [19], to reflexive sheaves by Bando-Siu [3], as well as an exposition by Siu in [21] .

Of course if E is indecomposable and not stable, then the flow can not converge. The main purpose of this paper is to show that nevertheless the limiting properties of the Yang-Mills flow once again reflects many of the geometric properties of E, and in many of the same ways as does a Yang-Mills connection. In particular we generalize to arbitrary dimension a Theorem of Daskalopoulos and Wentworth from [4], and we briefly explain their result here. They show that on a Kähler surface X, along the Yang-Mills flow the trace of the curvature approaches in L^p an endomorphism with locally constant eigenvalues corresponding to the Harder-Narasimhan type of E. Furthermore they prove that away from a bubbling set and along a subsequence, the Yang-Mills flow converges to a limiting Yang-Mills connection on a new bundle E_∞ with a possibly different topology. E_∞ extends over the singular set, and Daskalopoulos and Wentworth prove this extention is isomorphic to the bundle $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$, the double dual of the graded quotients of the Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration.

This paper builds on previous results of the author ([12], [13]) which generalize Daskalopoulos and Wentworth's result to semi-stable bundles over X of arbitrary dimension. Here we extend or work to the general case, in which E is an arbitrary holomorphic vector bundle over X. Equip E with a Hermitian metric H. Let Q^i be the quotients of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, and let π^i denote the orthogonal projections onto the subsheaves of this filtration. Define the endomorphism:

$$\Psi_H = \sum_i \mu(Q^i)(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}). \tag{1.1}$$

This is an endomorphism with locally constant eigenvalues determined by the slopes of the quotients of the Harder-Narsimhan filtration. Although the projections that make up Ψ are only smooth where the subsheaves of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is locally free, we know they are at least in L^2_1. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold X. Given a fixed metric H and any initial integrable connection A on E, let A_t be a smooth solution of the Yang-Mills flow starting with this initial connection. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a time t_0 such that for $t > t_0$, we have*

$$||\Lambda F_{A_t} - \Psi_t||^2_{L^2} < \epsilon.$$

The existence of such a connection for each $\epsilon > 0$ is called an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure on E (see Definition 2 below). As an immediate consequence we get a sharp lower bound for the Hermitian-Yang-Mills functional $||\Lambda F(\cdot)||^2_{L^2}$, and since this functional is related to the Yang-Mills functional by a topological constant, we get a sharp lower bound for the Yang-Mills functional as well. In fact we are able to generalize a formula of Atiyah and Bott from [1]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a slope decreasing filtration of E, and let $\mathcal{Q}^i$ be the quotients of this filtration. Then we define:

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F})^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{q} \mu(\mathcal{Q}^i)^2 rk(\mathcal{Q}^i).$$

Normalize ω to have volume one, and let A be an integrable connection. We have the following result:

Theorem 2. *For all holomorphic vector bundles E over X the following formula holds:*

$$\inf_A ||\Lambda F_A||^2_{L^2} = \sup_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi(\mathcal{F})^2.$$

We note that the supremum on the right is attained by the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E. This formula is the higher dimensional generalization of a formula first proven on Riemann surfaces by Atiyah and Bott in [1]. We also direct the reader to the paper of Donaldson [8], in which he states the Atiyah-Bott formula and proves a generalization relating the Calabi functional to test configurations.

We now explain our main result, which is an identification of the limit of the Yang-Mills flow. First, given a sequence of connections A_j along the Yang-Mills flow, we define the analytic bubbling set:

$$Z_{an} = \bigcap_{r>0} \{x \in X \mid \liminf_{j\to\infty} r^{4-2n} \int_{B_r(x)} |F(A_j)|^2 \omega^n \geq \epsilon\}.$$

This set is the same singular set used by Hong and Tian in [11]. Our complete result is as follows:

Theorem 3. *Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold X. Let A_t be a connection on E evolving along the Yang-Mills flow. Then there exists a subsequence of times t_j such that on $X \backslash Z_{an}$, the sequence A_{t_j} converges (modulo gauge transformations) in C^∞ to a limiting connection A_∞ on a limiting bundle E_∞. E_∞ extends to all of X as a reflexive sheaf $\hat{E}_\infty$ which is isomorphic to the double dual of the stable quotients of the graded Harder-Narasimhan-Seshadri filtration, denoted $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$, of E.*

In [11], Hong and Tian prove that away from Z_{an}, a subsequence along the Yang-Mills flow A_j converges smoothly to a limiting Yang-Mills connection on a limiting bundle E_∞. They also prove that Z_{an} is a holomorphic subvariety of X, although we do not utilize this result. By the work of Bando and Siu [3], we know E_∞ extends to all of X as a reflexive sheaf $\hat{E}_\infty$. In this paper we construct an explicit isomorphism between $\hat{E}_\infty$ and $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$, verifying a conjecture of Bando and Siu from [3].

Here we remark that the results of this paper are not a full generalization of the work of Daskalopoulos and Wentworth. In [5], the authors prove that the bubbling set Z_{an} is in fact equal to the singular set of $Gr^{hns}(E)$, in other words they show the Yang-Mills flow bubbles precisely where the sheaf $Gr^{hns}(E)$ fails to be locally free. While this is an extremely amazing and attractive fact, as of yet we can not generalize it to higher dimensions.

Here briefly describe the proof of our main results. Our first step is to construct an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure on E, using a similar method to that of [12]. We begin by defining a new relative functional on the space of Hermitian metrics, denoted $P(H_0, H)$, which is closely related to Donaldson's functional (see [6],[19],[21]). For a fixed metric H_0, the P-functional is designed so that if H_t is a smooth path of metrics, then the derivative of the P-functional along this path is given by:

$$\partial_t P(H_0, H_t) = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F - \Psi)H_t^{-1}\partial_t H_t)\omega^n.$$

We then show that along a solution of the Donaldson Heat flow, the following inequality holds:

$$||\Lambda F - \Psi||_{L^2}^2 \leq -\partial_t P(H_0, H_t).$$

If $P(H_0, H_t)$ is bounded from below, then $||\Lambda F - \Psi||_{L^2}^2$ is integrable in time from zero to infinity. This shows $||\Lambda F - \Psi||_{L^2}^2$ goes to zero along a subsequence, which along with a simple differential inequality proves an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure is realized along the Donaldson heat flow. The lower bound the P-functional is the difficult step, and is proven in a similar fashion to the lower bound of the Donaldson functional for semi-stable bundles [12]. The key difficulty lies in adapting the blowup procedure from [12] to regularize the quotients of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. We show the value of the functional is preserved during this regularization, and take advantage of the fact that on the regularized filtration the P-functional decomposes into positive terms plus the sum of the Donaldson functionals on the quotients of the filtration. We know the Donaldson functional is bounded below on the semi-stable quotients, and thus the P-functional is bounded below.

Once we have established the existence of an L^2 appoximate Hermitian structure along the Donaldson heat flow, we show such a structure is also realized along the Yang-Mills flow, proving Theorem 1. Theorem 2 follows. The proof of Theorem 3 requires explicit construction of an isomorphism between $\hat{E}_\infty$ and $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$, which is quite similar to the construction of an isomorphism from [13] in the case of semi-stable bundles. In our general case, we use Theorem 1, in combination with a modification of the Chern-Weil formula, to produce the necessary estimates needed for the second fundamental forms associated to the Harder-Narasimhan filtration go to zero in L^2. This proves that in the limit we get a holomorphic splitting of E_∞ into a direct sum of semi-stable quotients. Now, utilizing an idea which goes back to Donaldson in [6] (and is used by Daskalapolus and Wentworth in [4]), we can show the holomorphic inclusion maps of the subsheaves from the filtration into E converge to limiting holomorphic maps. Following a stability argument from [14] these limiting maps can be shown to be isomorphisms. Fortunately for us much of the hard analysis for this step was carried out by the author in [13], and we refer the reader to this reference for all relevant details.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide preliminary results on holomorphic vector bundles and torsion-free sheaves. We also introduce the Yang-Mills flow, providing important framework for later sections. We introduce the P-functional in Section 3, and prove it is bounded from below using the regularization of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. In Section 4 we prove the existence of an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure on E, proving Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In Section 5 we construct an isomorphism between $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$ and $\hat{E}_\infty$, proving Theorem 3.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, the author would like to thank his thesis advisor, D.H. Phong, for all his guidance and support during the process of writing this paper. The author would also like to thank Thomas Nyberg and Tristan Collins for many enlightening discussions. The author thanks Valentino Tosatti for much encouragement and for suggesting Theorem 2. Finally, the author would like to express his upmost gratitude to Richard Wentworth for encouraging him to work on this problem and providing valuable insight into the structure of the proof. This research was funded in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. DMS-07-57372. The results of this paper are part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at Columbia University.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Vector bundles and natural filtrations

In this section we introduce our notation and some basic facts about holomorphic vector bundles. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n. Locally the Kähler form is given by:

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2} g_{\bar{k}j} \, dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k,$$

where $g_{\bar{k}j}$ is a Hermitian metric on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}X$. Let Λ denote the adjoint of wedging with ω. If η is a $(1,1)$ form, then in coordinates $\Lambda\eta = -ig^{j\bar{k}}\eta_{\bar{k}j}$. The volume form on X is given by ω^n, and throughout this paper we normalize ω so that $\int_X \omega^n = 1$.

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over X. Given a metric H on E, there exists a natural connection which we call the Unitary-Chern connection. Given a section ϕ of E, this connection can be written down explicitly in a holomorphic frame:

$$\nabla_{\bar{k}}\phi^\alpha = \partial_{\bar{k}}\phi^\alpha \qquad\qquad \nabla_j\phi^\alpha = \partial_j\phi^\alpha + H^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_j H_{\bar{\beta}\gamma}\phi^\gamma$$

The curvature of this connecion is the following endomorphism valued two-from:

$$F := \frac{i}{2} F_{\bar{k}j}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma} \, dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k,$$

where $F_{\bar{k}j}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma} = -\partial_{\bar{k}}(H^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\partial_j H_{\bar{\beta}\gamma})$. We can now compute the degree of E, which we define as follows:

$$deg(E) := \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F)\, \omega^n.$$

Because X is Kähler this definition is independent of the choice of metric H on E. The slope of the vector bundle E is defined to be the following quotient:

$$\mu(E) = \frac{deg(E)}{rk(E)}.$$

Given a torsion-free subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset E$, we can view $\mathcal{F}$ as a holomorphic subbundle off the singular set $Z(\mathcal{F})$ where $\mathcal{F}$ fails to be locally free. We know from [14] that $Z(\mathcal{F})$ is a holomorphic subvariety of X of codimension at least two. Then on $X \backslash Z(\mathcal{F})$ we have a metric on the bundle $\mathcal{F}$ induced from the metric H on E, and the curvature of this metric is at least in in L^1 [12]. Thus the degree and slope of the subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ can be defined in the same was as E, by just computing away from the singular set $Z(\mathcal{F})$.

We say E is stable if $\mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(E)$ for all proper torsion free subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset E$. E is semi-stable if $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(E)$ for all $\mathcal{F} \subset E$. Since throughout this paper we have no stability assumptions on E, we will need the following proposition, a proof of which can be found in [14].

Proposition 1. *Any torsion-free sheaf E carries a unique filtration of subsheaves:*

$$0 = S^0 \subset S^1 \subset S^2 \subset \cdots \subset S^p = E, \tag{2.2}$$

called Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E, such that the quotients of this filtration $Q^i = S^i/S^{i-1}$ are torsion-free and semi-stable. The quotients are slope decreasing $\mu(Q^i) > \mu(Q^{i+1})$, and the associated graded object $Gr^{hn}(E) := \bigoplus_i Q^i$ is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of E.

Let f^i denote the holomorphic inclusion of the sheaf S^i into E. Also, let π^i denote the orthogonal projection of E onto S^i with respect to H. We note this projection is defined where S^i is locally free.

We also need an analogous filtration for semi-stable sheaves. For a torsion-free sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ which is semi-stable but not stable, we can always assume there is at least one proper subsheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \mu(\mathcal{Q})$. In general there may be many such subsheaves.

Definition 1. Given a semi-stable sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$, a *Seshadri filtration* is a filtration of torsion free subsheaves

$$0 \subset \tilde{S}^0 \subset \tilde{S}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{S}^q = \mathcal{Q}, \tag{2.3}$$

such that $\mu(\tilde{S}^i) = \mu(\mathcal{Q})$ for all i, and each quotient $\tilde{Q}^i = \tilde{S}^i/\tilde{S}^{i-1}$ is torsion-free and stable.

While such a filtration may not be unique, we do have the following proposition, once again from [14].

Proposition 2. *Given a Seshadri filtration of a torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$, the direct sum of the stable quotients, denoted $Gr^s(\mathcal{Q}) := \bigoplus_i \tilde{Q}^i$, is canonical and uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{Q}$.*

Given our initial holomorphic vector bundle E, let Q^k denote the k-th quotient of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Then $Gr^s(Q^k)$ can be denoted by $\bigoplus_i \tilde{Q}^i_k$. Putting these two propositions together, there exists a double filtration of E such that the corresponding graded object:

$$Gr^{hns}(E) := \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_i \tilde{Q}^i_k$$

is canonical and depends only on the isomorphism class of E. We now define the algebraic singular set of E as

$$Z_{alg} := \{x \in X \,|Gr^{hns}(E)_x \text{ is not free}\}.$$

Since the sheaf $Gr^{hns}(E)$ is torsion-free, we know Z_{alg} is of complex codimension two.

Finally, let r be the rank of E. We construct an r-tuple of real numbers:

$$(\mu(Q^1), \cdots, \mu(Q^1), \mu(Q^2), \cdots, \mu(Q^2), \cdots, \mu(Q^p), \cdots, \mu(Q^p)),$$

where the multiplicity of each number $\mu(Q^i)$ is determined by $rk(Q^i)$. We call this r-tuple the Harder-Narasimhan type of E. Now, recall from (1.1) the endomorphism Ψ_H, whose eigenvalues are defined to be the Harder-Narasimhan type of E. We note the dependence on the metric H comes from metric dependence on the orthogonal projections $\pi^i : E \longrightarrow S^i$.

Definition 2. *We say E carries an L^p approximate Hermitian structure if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a metric H on E such that:*

$$||\Lambda F - \Psi_H||_{L^p} < \epsilon.$$

2.2 Decomposition onto subsheaves

In this subsection we address subsheaves of E. Let $S \subset E$ be a proper, torsion-free subsheaf, which we include in the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{p} Q \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where we assume that the quotient sheaf Q is torsion free. Define the singular set of Q by $Z(Q) := \{x \in X \,|\, Q_x \text{ is not free}\}$. Then on $X \backslash Z(Q)$, we can view (2.4) as a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles. Here, a smooth metric H on E induces a metric J on S and a metric K on Q. For sections ψ, ϕ of S, we define the metric J as follows:

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_J = \langle f(\phi), f(\psi) \rangle_H.$$

In order to define the smooth metric K on Q, we note that the choice of H on E defines a splitting of (2.4):

$$0 \longleftarrow S \xleftarrow{\pi} E \xleftarrow{p^\dagger} Q \longleftarrow 0. \tag{2.5}$$

For sections v, w of Q, we define the metric K as follows:

$$\langle v, w\rangle_K = \langle p^\dagger(v), p^\dagger(w)\rangle_H.$$

Definition 3. On $X\backslash Z(Q)$ both S and Q are holomorphic vector bundles. We define an *induced metric* on either S or Q to be one constructed as above.

Once we have sequence (2.5), the second fundamental from $\gamma \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^{0,1} \otimes Hom(Q, S))$ is given by:

$$\gamma := \bar{\partial} \circ p^\dagger.$$

We know that for any $q \in \Gamma(X\backslash Z(Q), Q)$, $\gamma(q)$ lies in S since p is holomorphic and $p \circ p^\dagger = I$, thus $p\,(\bar{\partial} \circ p^\dagger(q)) = 0$. Now, because the maps f and p vanish on $Z(Q)$, any induced metric will degenerate or blow up as we approach the singular set, causing curvature terms to blow up. However, as proven in [12], we know the second fundamental from γ is at least in L^2. As we can see from (2.5), π is the orthogonal projection from E onto the S, which once again is only defined where S is locally free. Since $p^\dagger \circ p = I - \pi$, we have $\gamma \circ p = \bar{\partial}(p^\dagger) \circ p = \bar{\partial}(p^\dagger \circ p) = \bar{\partial}(I - \pi) = -\bar{\partial}\pi$. Thus $||\gamma||^2_{L^2} = ||\bar{\partial}\pi||^2_{L^2}$, and $\pi \in L^2_1$. Conversely, as proven by Uhlenbeck and Yau in [23], any weakly holomorphic L^2_1 projection defines a coherent subsheaf of E. Thus later on in the paper we will go back and forth between working with a subsheaf S and the L^2_1 projection π that defines the subsheaf.

We now turn to the decomposition of connections and curvature onto subbundles and quotient bundles, which is described in detail in [10]. Because of their prominence throughout the paper, we review some of these decomposition formulas here. We continue to work on $X\backslash Z(Q)$. Let ∇^S and ∇^Q be the unitary-Chern connections on S and Q with respect to the metrics J and K. In a local coordinate patch, any section Φ of E decomposes onto the bundles S and Q, denoted $\Phi = \phi + q$. We now have the following decomposition of the unitary-Chern connection ∇:

$$\nabla(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^S & \gamma \\ -\gamma^\dagger & \nabla^Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ q \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

Now, denote the curvature of the induced metric J by F^S and the curvature of the induced metric K by F^Q. The full curvature tensor F decomposes as follows:

$$F(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} F^S + \gamma^\dagger \wedge \gamma & \nabla\gamma \\ -(\nabla\gamma)^\dagger & F^Q - \gamma^\dagger \wedge \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ q \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

2.3 The Yang-Mills flow

In Section 2.1 we computed the curvature of the unitary-Chern connection, simply denoted F, with respect to a fixed metric H_0. We now allow for more general connections A on E. Every connection is an endomorphism valued 1-form, and hence will decompose into $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ parts since X is a complex manifold. So $A = A' + A''$, where A'' represents the

$(0,1)$ part of A. Define $\partial_A := \partial + A'$ and $\bar{\partial}_A := \bar{\partial} + A''$. We say A is integrable if $\bar{\partial}_A^2 = 0$ (thus A defines a holomorphic structure), and we denote the space of integrable unitary connections by $\mathcal{A}^{1,1}$. The curvature of such a connection only has a $(1,1)$ component, and is defined by:

$$F_A := \bar{\partial} A' + \partial A'' + A'' \wedge A' + A' \wedge A''.$$

The Yang-Mills functional $YM : \mathcal{A}^{1,1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ can now be expresed:

$$YM(A) := ||F_A||^2_{L^2}.$$

On a general complex manifold, the Yang-Mills flow is the gradient flow of this functional, and is given by:

$$\dot{A} = -d_A^* F_A.$$

However, because we are on a Kähler manifold, Bianchi's second identity ($d_A F_A = 0$) and the Kähler identities allow us to express $d_A^* F_A$ in a simpler form:

$$\begin{aligned} d_A^* F_A &= \partial_A^* F_A + \bar{\partial}_A^* F_A \\ &= i[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}_A] F_A - i[\Lambda, \partial_A] F_A \\ &= -i\bar{\partial}_A \Lambda F_A + i\partial_A \Lambda F_A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Yang-Mills flow can be expressed as:

$$\dot{A} = i\bar{\partial}_A \Lambda F_A - i\partial_A \Lambda F_A. \tag{2.8}$$

From this formulation one can check that the Yang-Mills flow stays inside $\mathcal{A}^{1,1}$ if we start with an integrable connection.

In fact our approach to the Yang-Mills flow can be developed further. We follow the viewpoint taken by Donaldson in [6]. For details we refer the reader to [6], and just present the setup here. First we define the Donaldson heat flow. Recall we have fixed an initial metric H_0 on E. Any other metric H defines an endormphism $h \in Herm^+(E)$ by $h = H_0^{-1} H$. The Donaldson heat flow is a flow of endomorphisms $h = h(t)$ given by:

$$h^{-1}\dot{h} = -(\Lambda F - \mu I),$$

where F is the curvature of the metric $H(t) = H_0 h(t)$. We set the initial condition $h(0) = I$. A unique smooth solution of the flow exists for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, and on any stable bundle this solution will converge to a smooth Hermitian-Einstein metric [6], [7], [19], [21]. In our case E is not stable, so we do not expect the flow to converge. However, it is useful in that it allows us to construct a solution to the Yang-Mills flow.

Working in a unitary frame with respect to H_0, let A_0 be an initial connection in $\mathcal{A}^{1,1}$. We have the decomposition $A_0 = A_0' + A_0''$. Now, starting with our initial holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_0 = \bar{\partial} + A_0''$, we consider the flow of holomorphic structures $\bar{\partial}_t = \bar{\partial} + A_t''$, where A_t'' is defined by the action of $w = h^{1/2}$ on A_0''. Explicitly, this action is given by:

$$A_t'' = w A_0'' w^{-1} - \bar{\partial} w w^{-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Using this flow of holomorphic structures and H_0 to define a flow of unitary connections A_t, one can check that A_t evolves by the Yang-Mills flow. Conversely, any path in $\mathcal{A}^{1,1}$ along the Yang-Mills flow defines an orbit of the complexified gauge group, which gives rise to a solution of the Donaldson heat flow. We note that given this setup, the curvature of F along the Donaldson heat flow is related to the curvature F_A along the Yang-Mills flow by the following relation:

$$F_A = w\, F\, w^{-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

We now state the convergence result of Hong and Tian from [11]. Consider a sequence of connections A_j evolving along the Yang-Mills flow. Then, on $X\backslash Z_{an}$, along a subsequence the connections A_j converge in C^∞, modulo unitary gauge transformations, to a Yang-Mills connection A_∞. Thus, always working on $X\backslash Z_{an}$, we have a sequence of holomorphic structures $(E,\bar\partial_j)$ which converge in C^∞ to a holomorphic structure on a (possibly) different bundle $(E_\infty,\bar\partial_\infty)$. By the work of Bando and Siu, the bundle $(E_\infty,\bar\partial_\infty)$ extends to all of X as a reflexive sheaf $\hat{E}_\infty$. Once again the main goal of this paper is to identify $\hat{E}_\infty$ with $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$, proving this limit is canonical and independent of subsequence.

3 The P-functional

We now begin the proof of Theorem 1, starting with the construction of an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure on E. First we introduce the P-functional and describe some basic properties.

Fix an initial metric H_0 on E. Then for any other metric H we can define the endomorphism $h = H_0^{-1}H$. Consider any path h_t in $Herm^+(E)$, $t\in[0,1]$ such that $h_0 = I$ and $h_1 = h$. The P-functional is defined by:

$$P(H_0,H) = \int_0^1\int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t)h_t^{-1}\dot h_t)\,\omega^n\,dt,$$

Where F_t is the curvature of the metric $H_t = H_0 h_t$. The above integral converges, for even though the projections π^i that make up Ψ_t are only defined on $X\backslash Z_{alg}$, we know that they are at least in L^2_1 [12]. We now check the P-functional is well defined independent of path.

Proposition 3. *The P-functional is path independent for any pair of metrics H_0, H on E.*

Proof. We note that the first term

$$\int_0^1\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_t h_t^{-1}\dot h_t)\,\omega^n\,dt,$$

appears in the Donaldson functional and is thus path independent (for a proof we refer the reader to [20]). Therefore we turn our attention to the second term:

$$\int_0^1\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Psi_t h_t^{-1}\dot h_t)\,\omega^n\,dt = \sum_i \mu(Q^i)\int_0^1\int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\pi_t^i - \pi_t^{i-1})h_t^{-1}\dot h_t)\,\omega^n\,dt.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t f^i \pi_t^i) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t f^i)$, where $\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t f^i$ is now an endomorphism of the bundle S^i. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Dropping the subscript t for simplicity, we have:*

$$\pi^i h^{-1}\dot{h} f^i = (h^i)^{-1}\dot{h}^i,$$

where J_0^i, J^i are the induced metrics on the subbundle S^i defined by H_0 and H, and h^i is the endomorphism of S^i defined by $h^i = (J_0^i)^{-1}J^i$.

Proof. First we note that $h^{-1}\dot{h}$ can be defined using the derivative of the metric H:

$$\partial_t\langle\cdot,\cdot,\rangle_H = \partial_t\langle h(\cdot),\cdot,\rangle_{H_0} = \langle\dot{h}(\cdot),\cdot,\rangle_{H_0} = \langle h^{-1}\dot{h}(\cdot),\cdot,\rangle_H.$$

Thus for any two sections ψ,ϕ of S^i, we define $(h^i)^{-1}\dot{h}^i$ by:

$$\partial_t\langle\psi,\phi\rangle_{J^i} = \langle(h^i)^{-1}\dot{h}^i\psi,\phi\rangle_{J^i}.$$

However by definition of the induced metric we have

$$\partial_t\langle\psi,\phi\rangle_{J^i} = \partial_t\langle f^i\psi, f^i\phi\rangle_H = \langle h^{-1}\dot{h} f^i\psi, f^i\phi\rangle_H = \langle\pi^i h^{-1}\dot{h} f^i\psi,\phi\rangle_{J^i},$$

concluding the lemma. $\square$

Of course the lemma is only true where S^i is locally free, thus we restrict ourself to $X\backslash Z_{alg}$. On this set we have $\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t) = \mathrm{Tr}((h_t^i)^{-1}\dot{h}_t^i) = \partial_t \log\,\det(h_t^i)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t)\,\omega^n\,dt &= \int_0^1\int_{X\backslash Z_{alg}} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_t^i h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t)\,\omega^n\,dt\\ &= \int_0^1 \partial_t\int_{X\backslash Z_{alg}} \log\det(h_t^i)\,\omega^n\,dt\\ &= \int_{X\backslash Z_{alg}} \log\det(h_1^i)\,\omega^n.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the integral is path independent. $\square$

The goal of the next few subsections is to prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 4. *For a fixed reference metric H_0, the functional $P(H_0,H)$ is bounded below for all other Hermitian metrics H.*

This theorem is major step in the proof of Theorem 1. As a first step towards its proof we must regularize the Harder-Narasimhan filtration.

3.1 Regularization of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration

In this section we go over our sheaf regularization procedure, which we then expand upon in order to regularize the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Although the basic regularization procedure can be found entirely in [12], we review it here for the readers convenience.

Consider the short exact sequence of sheaves (2.4). Here E is locally free and Q torsion free. Suppose S has rank s, E has rank r, and Q has rank q. After choosing coordinates, off of $Z(Q)$ we view f as a $r \times s$ matrix of holomorphic functions with full rank. Since $Z(Q)$ is a subset of codimension 2 or more, we can extend f over the singular set to get a matrix of holomorphic functions defined on our entire coordinate patch. On points in $Z(Q)$ the rank of f may drop, and it is exactly this behavior that we need to regularize before we can carry out the analysis in later sections.

Let Z_k be the subset of $Z(Q)$ where $rk(f) \leq k$. For the smallest such k, on Z_k we can choose coordinates so that f can be expressed as

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix},$$

where g vanishes identically on Z_k. Blowing up along Z_k by the map $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$, we choose coordinate patches $\{U_\alpha\}$ on $\tilde{X}$. On a given coordinate patch let w define the exceptional divisor. Then the pullback of f can be decomposed as follows:

$$\pi^* f = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{g} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & w^a I_{s-k} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.11}$$

where a is the largest power of w we can pull out of $\pi^* g$. Denote the matrix on the left of (3.11) as $\tilde{f}$ and the matrix on right as t. We would like to define $\tilde{S}$ as the image of the sheaf S under the map t. Explicitly, we note that off of $\pi^{-1}(Z(Q))$, $\pi^* S$ is a holomorphic vector bundle with transition functions $\{\Phi_{\alpha\beta}\}$ so that for a section ψ^ρ of $\pi^* S$,

$$\psi^\rho|_{U_\alpha} = {\Phi_{\alpha\beta}}^\rho{}_\gamma \psi^\gamma|_{U_\beta}.$$

With this, the transition functions $\{\tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta}\}$ of $\tilde{S}$ can be expressed as:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho{}_\gamma = \frac{{w_\alpha}^{a_\gamma}}{{w_\beta}^{a_\rho}} \Phi_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho{}_\gamma.$$

Here a_γ is equal to 0 if $\gamma \leq k$ or a if $\gamma > k$. Although these transition functions may blow up as we approach $\pi^{-1}(Z(Q))$, they are useful in understanding how the map t twists up S. Now the map $\tilde{f}$ defines a new holomorphic inclusion of the sheaf $\tilde{S}$ into the bundle $\pi^* E$, with a new quotient $\tilde{Q}$. Of course, the rank of $\tilde{f}$ may still drop, but one of two things has happened. Either $rk(\tilde{f}) > k$ on $\pi^*(Z_k)$, or for all $x \in Z_k$, if m_x is the maximal ideal at the point x, then the smallest power p such that m_x^p sits inside the ideal generated by the vanishing of $\tilde{g}$ is smaller than that of g. In either case we have improved the regularity of

f. After a finite number of blowups we can conclude that $rk(\tilde{f}) > k$ everwhere. Thus we can next blowup along Z_{k+1} and continue this process until the rank of $\tilde{f}$ does not drop.

After a finite number of blowups we have that the map $\tilde{f}$ is holomorphic and has constant rank on $\tilde{X}$. It defines a holomorphic subbundle $\tilde{S}$ of π^*E with holomorphic quotient $\tilde{Q}$. We note that this procedure is consistent with another viewpoint found in Uhlenbeck and Yau [23]. In their paper they view a torsion free sheaf locally as a rational map from X to the Grassmanian $Gr(s, r)$ (this is our map f). By Hironaka's Theorem we know this map can be regularized after a finite number of blowups. We follow our procedure in order to find coordinates which let us keep track of how that map changes at each step, and in doing so we can work out how the induced metrics on $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ change during each step.

We now turn our attention to the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E (2.2). Recall that $f^i : S^i \longrightarrow E$ denotes the holomorphic inclusion of S^i into E, and let $l^i : S^i \longrightarrow S^{i+1}$ be the holomorphic inclusion of each subsheaf S^i into the the corresponding sheaf of next lowest rank S^{i+1}. Then we have that $f^{p-1} = l^{p-1}$, $f^{p-2} = l^{p-1} \circ l^{p-2}$, and in general $f^i = l^{p-1} \circ \cdots \circ l^i$. To regularize this filtration, we begin by regularizing each subsheaf, starting with S^1 and then working with subsheaves of successively higher rank. We describe the process as follows.

Given S^i from the filtration, for each i we have a sequence of blowups $\pi_i : \tilde{X}^i \longrightarrow \tilde{X}^{i-1}$ and a corresponding holomorphic inclusion map $\tilde{f}^i : \tilde{S}^i \longrightarrow {\pi_i}^*E$ such that the rank of $\tilde{f}^i$ does not drop. From (3.11) we have that $\tilde{f}^i$ is defined by ${\pi_i}^*f^i = \tilde{f}^i \circ t$, where t is some diagonal matrix of powers of the exceptional divisor. Since $f^i = l^{p-1} \circ \cdots \circ l^i$, and $\tilde{f}^i = {\pi_i}^*f^i \circ t^{-1}$, we can define $\tilde{l}^i := {\pi_i}^*l^i \circ t^{-1}$. Because after a finite number of steps the rank of $\tilde{f}^i$ does not drop, we have know the rank of $\tilde{l}^i$ does not drop, thus

$$0 \longrightarrow (\pi_{i+1})^*\tilde{S}^i \xrightarrow{\tilde{l}^i} \tilde{S}^{i+1}$$

defines a holomorphic inclusion of vector bundles, and the regularized quotient $\tilde{Q}^{i+1}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle. Following this construction for all i we have a finite sequence of blowups that regularizes each sheaf in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E, such that the quotients $\tilde{Q}^i$ are all locally free. Summing up we have proved the following proposition:

Proposition 4. *Given a holomorphic vector bundle E over a complex-Hermitian manifold X, let*

$$0 = S^0 \subset S^1 \subset S^2 \subset \cdots \subset S^p = E$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E. The inclusion maps $l_0^i : S^i \longrightarrow S^{i+1}$ can be defined by matrices of holomorphic functions with transition functions on the overlaps. Then there exists a finite number of blowups

$$\tilde{X}_N \xrightarrow{\pi_N} \tilde{X}_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \tilde{X}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X,$$

and matrices of holomorphic functions l_k^i over $\tilde{X}_k$ with the the following properties:

i) On each $\tilde{X}_k$ there exists coordinates so that if w defines the exceptional divisor, there exists a diagonal matrix of monomials in w (denoted t) so that

$$\pi_{k-1}^{*} l_{k-1}^{i} = l_{k}^{i} \circ t.$$

ii) The rank of l_N^i is constant for each i, thus it defines a holomorphic subbundle of $\tilde{S}^{i+1}$ with a holomorphic quotient bundle.

3.2 Transformation of key terms

We now turn our attention back to Theorem 4. We prove this theorem by changing the form of the P-functional and writing it as a sum of objects which we know are bounded from below. First we recall the definition of the Donaldson functional on a vector bundle E:

$$M(H_0, H, \omega) = \int_0^1 \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(F_t h_t^{-1} \partial_t h_t) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \, dt - \mu(E) \int_X \log\det(h_1) \, \omega^n,$$

where once again h_t is any path in $Herm^+(E)$ with $h_0 = I$ and $h_1 = H_0^{-1} H$, and F_t is the curvature of the metric $H_t := H_0 \, h_t$ along the path. Here we introduced ω as input into the functional to show its dependence on a volume form. Now, if we let $M_i(H_0, H, \omega)$ denote the Donaldson functional on the quotient sheaf Q^i with induced metrics from E, then we will prove that:

$$P(H_0, H) = \sum_i M_i(H_0, H, \omega) + ||\gamma^i||_{L^2}^2 - ||\gamma_0^i||_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.12)$$

Here γ^i is the second fundamental form of the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{i-1} \longrightarrow S^i \longrightarrow Q^i \longrightarrow 0,$$

associated to the metric H, and γ_0^i is the second fundamental form associated to H_0. Thus to prove Theorem 4 we have to complete two steps. First we show that all the terms in (3.12) are well defined for induced metrics on the sheaves Q^i, and secondly we need to show that the functional does indeed satisfy the decomposition (3.12). In this subsection we will focus on showing all the terms are well defined.

From Section 3.1 we recall that there exists a regularized Harder-Narasimhan filtration

$$0 = \tilde{S}^0 \subset \tilde{S}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{S}^{p-1} \subset \tilde{S}^p = \pi^* E,$$

such that the rank of the holomorphic inclusion maps $\tilde{f}^i$ does not drop on $\tilde{X}$ (here $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ is the sequence of blowups needed to construct the regularization). So given $\pi^* H$ on $\pi^* E$, the smooth induced metric on $\tilde{S}^i$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{J}^i_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} := (\tilde{f}^i)^{\rho}{}_{\alpha} \overline{(\tilde{f}^i)^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}} H_{\bar{\gamma}\rho}.$$

Also, because the rank of $\tilde{l}^i : \tilde{S}^i \longrightarrow \tilde{S}^{i+1}$ does not drop, we have an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{S}^i \xrightarrow{\tilde{l}^i} \tilde{S}^{i+1} \xrightarrow{p^i} \tilde{Q}^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.13}$$

The metric $\tilde{J}^{i+1}$ gives a splitting of the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longleftarrow \tilde{S}^i \xleftarrow{\lambda^i} \tilde{S}^{i+1} \xleftarrow{{p^i}^\dagger} \tilde{Q}^{i+1} \longleftarrow 0, \tag{3.14}$$

and it follows that the metric $\tilde{K}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}$ on $\tilde{Q}^{i+1}$ defined by:

$$\tilde{K}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} := ({p^i}^\dagger)^\rho{}_\alpha \overline{({p^i}^\dagger)^\gamma{}_\beta} \tilde{J}^i_{\bar{\gamma}\rho}$$

is smooth.

Our main concern is that the integrals that make up each term in (3.12) might not be finite, which is a reasonable concern because along Z_{alg}, curvature terms will blow up. We show these terms are in fact controlled by using formulas describing the change during each step in the regularization procedure, and prove that in fact the desired terms do not change during regularization. So if we are working with the regularized filtration the induced metrics are smooth, and since the manifold is compact each term will be finite.

We recall the following proposition from [12]:

Proposition 5. *Consider a single blowup from the regularization procedure $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$. Let J and K be induced metrics on S^i and Q^i, respectively. Then if w locally defines the exceptional divisor, there exists natural numbers a_α so that:*

$$\pi^* J_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} = w^{a_\alpha} \overline{w^{a_\beta}} \tilde{J}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \qquad\qquad \pi^* K_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} = \frac{1}{w^{a_\alpha} \overline{w^{a_\beta}}} \tilde{K}_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}.$$

Using this proposition we can compute how the induced curvature changes. For simplicity we restrict ourselves to working with K on the quotient Q^i, and denote the curvature of K by F. A similar formula holds for induced metrics on S^i.

Corollary 1. *Consider a single blowup from the regularization procedure $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$, and let w locally define the exceptional divisor. Then the following decomposition holds in the sense of currents*

$$\pi^* \mathrm{Tr}(F) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha \partial\bar{\partial} \log |w|^2 + \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\mathrm{F}}).$$

For a proof of this we again refer the reader to [12]. In Section 2.2 we saw how curvature decomposed onto sub and quotient bundles, thus we have the following formula:

$$F^{Q^i} = F^{S^i}|_{Q^i} + {\gamma^i}^\dagger \wedge \gamma^i$$

Using the projection λ^{i-1} from (3.14), we see:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,({\gamma^i}^\dagger \wedge \gamma^i) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \mathrm{Tr}\,(F^{Q^i}) \wedge \omega^{n-1} - \mathrm{Tr}\,((I - \lambda^{i-1}) \circ F^{S^i}) \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

In Proposition 4 from [12] it is shown that λ^{i-1} does not change during regularization. Because $\partial\bar{\partial}\log|w|^2$ is supported along the exceptional divisor, and $\pi^*\omega^{n-1}$ degenerates there, it follows that $\mathrm{Tr}\,({\gamma^i}^\dagger \wedge \gamma^i) \wedge \omega^{n-1}$ does not change at any step in the regularization procedure, thus

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,({\gamma^i}^\dagger \wedge \gamma^i) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \mathrm{Tr}\,(\tilde{\gamma}^{i\dagger} \wedge \tilde{\gamma}^i) \wedge \pi^*\omega^{n-1}.$$

Integrating both sides of this last equation proves $||\gamma^i||^2_{L^2} = ||\tilde{\gamma}^i||^2_{L^2}$.

Next we show that the Donaldson functional $M_i(H_0, H, \omega)$ is well defined on any quotient sheaf Q^i arising from the filtration. Given a blowup map $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$, one can also define the Donaldson functional on a vector bundle over $\tilde{X}$ by integrating with respect to the degenerate metric $\pi^*\omega$. Since $\pi^*\omega$ is closed the functional will still be independent of path. We define the Donaldson functional on the sheaves Q^i as follows:

Definition 4. For any quotient sheaf Q^i arising from the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E, we define the *Donaldson functional* on Q^i to be:

$$M_i(H_0, H, \omega) := M_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{K}_0, \tilde{K}, \pi^*\omega),$$

for any regularization $\tilde{Q}^i$.

Here $M_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{K}_0, \tilde{K}, \pi^*\omega)$ is the Donaldson functional for the vector bundles $\tilde{Q}$ defined using the degenerate metric $\pi^*\omega$. We note that the domains of the functionals M_i are metrics on the vector bundle E, thus this definition only applies to induced metrics and does not extend to arbitrary metrics on Q^i. The following proposition proves that this definition is well defined.

Proposition 6. *For each i the functional M_i is well defined for any pair of metrics on E, and is independent of the choice of regularization.*

For a proof we direct the reader to Proposition 5 from [12]. Immediately we see the P-functional is well defined on the subsheaves S^i as well, and that its value is independent of regularization. Now all three terms on the right hand side of (3.12) are well defined for induced metrics on the quotient sheaves Q^i. The next step is to show that the decompostion formula does indeed hold.

3.3 Decomposition of the P-functional

In this section we prove decomposition (3.12). We begin by considering the proper subsheaf of highest rank in the filtration, S^{p-1}. In the proof of Proposition 3, we found the following formula for P:

$$P(H_0, H) = \int_0^1 \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_t h_t^{-1} \dot{h}_t)\, \omega^n \, dt - \sum_i \mu(Q^i) \int_X (\log\det(h_1^i) - \log\det(h_1^{i-1}))\, \omega^n,$$

Where h^i is the endomorphism defined by induced metrics J^i and J_0^i on S^i. Dropping the subscript t, we note that by Proposition 6 we have:

$$\int_0^1 \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F h^{-1}\dot{h})\omega^n = \int_0^1 \int_{\tilde{X}} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^*(\Lambda F h^{-1}\dot{h}))\pi^*\omega^n,$$

Where $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$, is a sequence of blowups which regularizes the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Now, the regularized $\tilde{S}^{p-1}$ and $\tilde{Q}^p$ are holomorphic subbundles and quotient bundles of π^*E, and with the metric π^*H we can identify the following splitting:

$$0 \longleftarrow \tilde{S}^{p-1} \longleftarrow \pi^*E \xleftarrow{p^\dagger} \tilde{Q}^p \longleftarrow 0.$$

Following Section 2.2 we have the decomposition of curvature:

$$\Lambda F = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Lambda F^{\tilde{S}^{p-1}} + \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}}\gamma_{\bar{k}}\gamma_j^\dagger & \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j\gamma_{\bar{k}} \\ \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_{\bar{k}}\gamma_j^\dagger & \Lambda F^{\tilde{Q}^p} - \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}}\gamma_j^\dagger\gamma_{\bar{k}} \end{array} \right).$$

Define $V := p^\dagger - p_0^\dagger$. Using the description of $h^{-1}\dot{h}$ from the proof of Lemma 1 we can see how $h^{-1}\dot{h}$ decomposes:

$$h^{-1}\dot{h} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1} & -\dot{V} \\ -\dot{V}^\dagger & (h^{-1}\dot{h})^p \end{array} \right).$$

Here $(h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1}$ and $(h^{-1}\dot{h})^p$ are the induced endomorphims on $\tilde{S}^{p-1}$ and $\tilde{Q}^p$. Thus we now have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^*(\Lambda F h^{-1}\dot{h})) & = & \mathrm{Tr}\,(\Lambda F^{\tilde{S}^{p-1}}(h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1} + \pi^*\Lambda F^{\tilde{Q}^p}(h^{-1}\dot{h})^p) + \\ & & \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma_{\bar{k}}\gamma_j^\dagger(h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1} - \nabla_j\gamma_{\bar{k}}\dot{V}^\dagger - \nabla_{\bar{k}}\gamma_j^\dagger\dot{V} - \gamma_j^\dagger\gamma_{\bar{k}}(h^{-1}\dot{h})^p) \end{array}$$

We note now that the term:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\tilde{X}} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^*\Lambda F^{\tilde{Q}^p}(h^{-1}\dot{h})^p)\pi^*\omega^n,$$

combines with:

$$-\mu(Q^p)\int_{\tilde{X}} (\log\det(h_1) - \log\det(h_1^{p-1}))\pi^*\omega^n,$$

to give $M_p(H_0, H, \omega)$. Also the term:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\tilde{X}} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^*\Lambda F^{S^{p-1}}(h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1})b^*\pi^n,$$

combines with

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\mu(Q^i)\int_0^1 \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\pi_t^i - \pi_t^{i-1})h_t^{-1}\dot{h}_t)\,\pi^*\omega^n\,dt,$$

To give $P_{|_{\tilde{S}^{p-1}}}(H_0, H)$. Thus the remaining term to identify is

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\tilde{X}} \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr}(\gamma_{\bar{k}} \gamma_j^\dagger (h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1} - \nabla_j \gamma_{\bar{k}} \dot{V}^\dagger - \nabla_{\bar{k}} \gamma_j^\dagger \dot{V} - \gamma_j^\dagger \gamma_{\bar{k}} (h^{-1}\dot{h})^p) \pi^* \omega^n \, dt.$$

Now, since $\gamma_{\bar{k}} = \partial_{\bar{k}} p^\dagger$, we have $\dot{\gamma}_{\bar{k}} = \partial_t(\gamma_{\bar{k}} - (\gamma_{\bar{k}})_0) = \partial_t(\partial_{\bar{k}}(p^\dagger - p_0^\dagger)) = \nabla_{\bar{k}} \dot{V}$. Thus we can integrate by parts to get:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\tilde{X}} \pi^* g^{j\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr}(\gamma_{\bar{k}} \gamma_j^\dagger (h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1} + \gamma_{\bar{k}} \dot{\gamma}_j^\dagger + \gamma_j^\dagger \dot{\gamma}_{\bar{k}} - \gamma_j^\dagger \gamma_{\bar{k}} (h^{-1}\dot{h})^p) \pi^* \omega^n \, dt.$$

Consider the following formula, which can be found in [6]:

$$\partial_t(\gamma_j^\dagger) = \dot{\gamma}_j^\dagger + \gamma_j^\dagger (h^{-1}\dot{h})^{p-1} - (h^{-1}\dot{h})^p \gamma_j^\dagger.$$

The final term now becomes:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\tilde{X}} \partial_t(\pi^* g^{j\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr}(\gamma_{\bar{k}} \gamma_j^\dagger) \pi^* \omega^n) \, dt = ||\gamma^p||_{L^2}^2 - ||\gamma_0^p||_{L^2}^2.$$

This completes the first step of the decomposition. We can continue the process on $P_{|_{\tilde{S}^{p-1}}}(H_0, H)$ to prove the desired decomposition formula (3.12). We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.

Proof. By (3.12), we know the P-functional is the sum over all i of three terms. The two second fundamental form terms are bounded below since $||\gamma^i||_{L^2}^2$ is positive and $-||\gamma_0^i||_{L^2}^2$ is fixed and only depends on our initial metric H_0. To see that $M_i(H_0, H, \omega)$ is bounded below, notice that this functional is equivalent to the Donaldson functional defined on some regularization $\tilde{Q}^i$. This regularization is a holomorphic vector bundle over $\tilde{X}$, and is semi-stable with respect to the pull back form $\pi^*\omega$. Thus this last term is bounded from below by the proof of Theorem 3 from [12].

□

4 An L^2 approximate Hermitian structure

We are now ready to construct an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure on E along the Yang-Mills flow, proving Theorem 1. Recall that we defined the P-functional so that if H_t is a family of metrics on E, then

$$\partial_t P(H_0, H_t) = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F - \Psi) H_t^{-1} \partial_t H_t) \omega^n.$$

We use the lower bound on the P-functional to show then E admits an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure along the Donaldson heat flow. We then show the existence of such a structure along the Donaldson Heat flow shows one exists along the Yang Mill flow.

First we need the following proposition, which gives one inequality in the proof of the Atiyah-Bott formula.

Proposition 7. *For any connection* $A \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}$, *we have:*

$$||\Psi||^2_{L^2} \leq ||\Lambda F_A||_{L^2}.$$

The proof of this result is similar to the proof of Corollary 2.22 in [4]. We include the details here for the reader's convenience. As a first step, we compute the square of Ψ:

$$\Psi^2 = \sum_i \mu(Q^i)^2(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}). \tag{4.15}$$

To see this, note for any $k > 0$, we have $\pi^{i-k}\pi^i = \pi^i\pi^{i-k} = \pi^{i-k}$ since the subbundles are ordered by inclusion. Thus $(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1})^2 = \pi^{i^2} - \pi^{i-1}\pi^i - \pi^i\pi^{i-1} + \pi^{i-1^2} = \pi^i - \pi^{i-1}$. Also, all the cross terms in Ψ_H^2 vanish, since

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi^{i-k} - \pi^{i-k-1})(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}) &= (\pi^{i-k}(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}) - \pi^{i-k-1}(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}))\\ &= (\pi^{i-k} - \pi^{i-k} - \pi^{i-k-1} + \pi^{i-k-1})\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.15).

Now, let r be the rank of E. Recall the Harder-Narasimhan type of E:

$$\vec{\mu} := (\mu_1, ..., \mu_i, ..., \mu_r) = (\mu(Q^1), ..., \mu(Q^1), \mu(Q^2), ..., \mu(Q^2), ..., \mu(Q^p), ..., \mu(Q^p)),$$

where the multiplicity of each $\mu(Q^i)$ is determined by $rk(Q^i)$. Because X has volume one, we see by (4.15) that $||\Psi||^2_{L^2} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i^2$, which is independent of the metric used to define the projections π^i. Following Atiyah and Bott, given any two r-tuples $\vec{\mu}, \vec{\lambda}$ satisfying $\mu_i \geq \mu_{i+1}$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$, then we say

$$\vec{\mu} \leq \vec{\lambda} \ \Leftrightarrow \ \sum_{j\leq k}^r \mu_j \leq \sum_{j\leq k}^r \lambda_i \ \text{ for all } k = 1, ..., r.$$

Next we consider the convergence results of Hong-Tian. They show that on $X \backslash Z_{an}$ and along a subsequence, $A_j \longrightarrow A_\infty$ in C^∞ modulo unitary gauge transformations. Since $|\Lambda F_t|_{C^0}$ is uniformly bounded along the flow, the L^2 norm of ΛF_∞ is defined on all of X, and we see that $||\Lambda F_j - \Lambda F_\infty||^2_{L^2(X)}$ goes to zero as j tends to infinity. Furthermore, since A_∞ is Yang-Mills, we have that the eigenvalues of ΛF_∞ are locally constant, given by $\lambda_1 \geq ... \geq \lambda_r$ (counted with multiplicities). Conisder the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let* S *be any torsion free subsheaf of* E *of rank* s. *Then* $deg(S) \leq \sum_{i\leq s} \lambda_i$.

Proof. Recall that along the Yang-Mills flow, the holomorphic structure of E evolves by the action of w_j. Let π_i be the orthogonal projection onto the subsheaf $w_j(S)$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} deg(S) &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_j \circ \pi_j)\omega^n - ||\bar{\partial}_j \pi_j||^2_{L^2} \\ &\leq \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_\infty \circ \pi_j)\omega^n + \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F_j - \Lambda F_\infty) \circ \pi_j)\omega^n \\ &\leq \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_\infty \circ \pi_j)\omega^n + ||\Lambda F_j - \Lambda F_\infty||^2_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We need the following claim from linear algebra:

Claim 1. *Let V be a finite dimesional Hermitian vector space of complex dimension r. Let $L \in End(V)$ be a Hermitian operator with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq ... \geq \lambda_r$ (counted with multiplicities). Let π denote orthogonal projection onto a subspace of dimension s. Then* $\mathrm{Tr}(L\pi) \leq \sum_{i\leq s}\lambda_i$.

Using this claim, we se that

$$deg(S) \leq \sum_{i\leq s}\lambda_i + ||\Lambda F_j - \Lambda F_\infty||^2_{L^2},$$

and the result follows by sending j to infinity. ☐

Now, let A_j be a sequence of connections along the Yang-Mills flow with Hong-Tian limit A_∞. Let $\vec{\mu}$ be the Harder-Narasimhan type of E, and $\vec{\lambda}$ the eigenvalues of ΛF_∞. Then we have that $\vec{\mu} \leq \vec{\lambda}$. To see this, reacall S^i are the subsheaves defining the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, and let them have corresponding rank s^i. By the previous Lemma we have $deg(S^i) \leq \sum_{j\leq s^i}\lambda_j$ for all i. We also have that $deg(S^i) = \sum_{j\leq s^i}\mu_j$. Thus for each s^i we have

$$\sum_{j\leq s^i}\mu_j \leq \sum_{j\leq s^i}\lambda_j.$$

Now, $\vec{\mu} \leq \vec{\lambda}$ follows from Lemma 2.3 in [4]. We are now ready to prove Proposition 7.

Proof. For any initial connection A_0 on E, let A_t be the solution of the Yang-Mills flow. Since the Hermitian-Yang-Mills energy is decreasing along the flow, we know that for all t:

$$||\Lambda F_{A_0}||^2_{L^2} \geq ||\Lambda F_{A_t}||^2_{L^2}.$$

By the convergence results of Hong-Tian that there exists a subsequence so that $\Lambda F_j \longrightarrow \Lambda F_\infty$ in L^2, and that ΛF_∞ has constant eigenvalues $\vec{\lambda}$. We then have:

$$||\Lambda F_{A_t}||^2_{L^2} \geq ||\Lambda F_\infty||^2_{L^2} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^2.$$

Yet as we have just seen, $\vec{\mu} \leq \vec{\lambda}$. Thus from Proposition 12.6 in [1] we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_i^2.$$

The proof of the Proposition is complete by noting $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_i^2 = ||\Psi||_{L^2}^2$, so

$$||\Lambda F_{A_0}||_{L^2}^2 \geq ||\Lambda F_{A_t}||_{L^2}^2 \geq ||\Lambda F_\infty||_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_i^2 = ||\Psi||_{L^2}^2.$$

□

We can now prove the main Proposition from this section:

Proposition 8. *Along the Donaldson heat flow we have the following convergence:*

$$||\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t||_{L^2}^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

as t approaches infinity.

Proof. We first show that we have L^2 convergence of $\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t$ along a subsequence. Note that this L^2 norm is computed with respect to the evolving metric H. As a fist step, we show that for all times along the Donaldson heat flow, we have the inequality:

$$||\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t||_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t)(\Lambda F_t - \mu(E)I))\omega^n. \tag{4.16}$$

Expanding out the left hand side gives:

$$\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_t^2 - 2\Lambda F_t \Psi_t + \Psi_t^2)\omega^n,$$

while the right hand side is given by

$$\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(2\Lambda F_t^2 - 2\Lambda F_t \Psi_t - 2\mu(E)\Lambda F_t + 2\mu(E)\Psi_t)\omega^n.$$

Thus the inequality (4.16) reduces to

$$||\Psi||_{L^2}^2 \leq ||\Lambda F_t||_{L^2}^2 + 2\mu(E) \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Psi_t - \Lambda F_t)\omega^n.$$

Yet Ψ_t is constructed so that $\mathrm{Tr}(\Psi_t) = deg(E)$, so the second term on the right vanishes. Thus inequality (4.16) follows from Proposition 7. Note that the term on the right hand side of inequality (4.16) is minus the time derivative of the P functional along the Donaldson heat flow.

We now have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty ||\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t||^2_{L^2} dt &\leq 2\int_0^\infty \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t)(\Lambda F_t - \mu(E)I))\omega^n dt \\ &= -2\int_0^\infty \partial_t P(H_0, H(t))dt \\ &= 2P(H_0, H_0) - \lim_{t\to\infty} 2P(H_0, H(t)) \leq C,\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded since the P functional is bounded from below. Thus there exist a subsequence of times along the Donaldson heat flow where

$$Y(t) := ||\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t||^2_{L^2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

proving there exists an L^2 appoximate Hermitian structure on E along this subsequence of times. Note that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_m^{m+1} Y(t)dt < \infty,$$

hence we can find a subsequence $t_m \in [m, m+1)$ with $Y(t_m) \longrightarrow 0$.

Next we show such a structure exists for any subsequence of times. Note that Ψ can be rewritten as:

$$\Psi = \sum_i c_i \pi^i,$$

where the c_i are all positive constants since the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is slope decreasing. Also, note that the time derivative of the projection π^i along the path H_t is given by $\partial_t \pi^i = \pi^i(H_t^{-1}\partial_t H_t)(I - \pi^i)$. We compute how $Y(t)$ evolves with time:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t Y(t) &= 2\int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\partial_t \Lambda F - \partial_t \Psi)(\Lambda F - \Psi))\omega^n \\ &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Delta \Lambda F(\Lambda F - \Psi))\omega^n - \sum_i c_i \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^i(H_t^{-1}\partial_t H_t)(I - \pi^i)(\Lambda F - \Psi))\omega^n \\ &\leq \int_X g^{j\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr}(\nabla_{\bar{k}} \Lambda F \nabla_j \Psi)\omega^n + \sum_i c_i \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^i(\Lambda F - \mu(E)I)(I - \pi^i)(\Lambda F - \Psi))\omega^n,\end{aligned}$$

after integration by parts on the first term. Note that $\pi^i \mu(E) I(I - \pi^i) = 0$. Now, the part of ΛF that sends $S^{i\perp}$ to S^i is $-g^{j\bar{k}}\tilde{\nabla}_j \nabla_{\bar{k}} \pi$, where $\tilde{\nabla}_j$ is the covariant derivative for $Hom(S^{i\perp}, S^i)$. The difference between $\tilde{\nabla}_j$ and ∇_j is two second fundamental form terms, or

$$-g^{j\bar{k}}\tilde{\nabla}_j \nabla_{\bar{k}} \pi^i = -g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_j \nabla_{\bar{k}} \pi^i + g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_j \pi^i \nabla_{\bar{k}} \pi^i - \nabla_{\bar{k}} \pi^i \nabla_j \pi^i.$$

So we have

$$\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\pi^i(\Lambda F - \mu(E)I)(I - \pi^i)(\Lambda F - \Psi))\omega^n = -\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(g^{j\bar{k}}\tilde{\nabla}_j \nabla_{\bar{k}} \pi^i)(\Lambda F - \Psi))\omega^n,$$

and the right hand side is bounded by

$$-\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j\nabla_{\bar{k}}\pi^i(\Lambda F-\Psi))\omega^n + C||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2},$$

where we used the L^∞ bound for ΛF. We then have:

$$\sum_i c_i\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j\nabla_{\bar{k}}\pi^i\Psi)\omega^n = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j\nabla_{\bar{k}}\Psi\Psi) = -||\nabla(\Psi)||^2_{L^2}\leq 0,$$

Thus returning to our initial computation of $\partial_t Y(t)$ and applying Hölders inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t Y(t) &\leq C_0||\nabla\Lambda F||_{L^2}||\nabla\Psi||_{L^2}+C||\nabla\Psi||^2_{L^2}.\\ &\leq C_0||\nabla\Lambda F||^2_{L^2}+C||\nabla\Psi||^2_{L^2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

We show both terms on the right hand side go to zero as t approaches infinity. Recall that along the Donaldson heat flow we have $\partial_t||\Lambda F||^2_{L^2}=-2||\bar{\nabla}\Lambda F||^2_{L^2}$. Set $f(t)=||\bar{\nabla}\Lambda F||^2_{L^2}$. Then since $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt\leq\infty$, and $\partial_t||\Lambda F||^2_{L^2}\leq 0$, we know that for all times t the function $f(t)\longrightarrow 0$ as t goes to infinity.

We now do the same for $||\nabla\Psi||^2_{L^2}$. As a first step we show that for any π^i from Ψ we have that $||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2}$ goes to zero along a subsequence, and to do so we need a modification of the Chern-Weil formula. Once again recall that ω is normalized so $\int_X\omega^n=1$. We have

$$\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Psi\circ\pi^i)\omega^n=\sum_k\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\mu(Q^k)(\pi^k-\pi^{k-1})\circ\pi^i)\omega^n.$$

However, if $k\geq i$, then because the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is ordered by inclusion we know $\pi^k\circ\pi^i=\pi^i$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Psi\circ\pi^i)\omega^n &= \sum_{k\leq i}\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\mu(Q^k)(\pi^k-\pi^{k-1}))\omega^n.\\ &= \sum_{k\leq i}\mu(Q^k)\,rk(Q^k)=\sum_{k\leq i}\deg(Q^k).\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\deg(Q^k)=\deg(S^k)-\deg(S^{k-1})$, so the sum $\sum_{k\leq i}\deg(Q^k)$ is a telescoping sum. Thus the only contribution is the term coming from $k=i$, so by the Chern-Weil formula:

$$\sum_{k\leq i}\deg(Q^k)=\deg(S^i)=\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F\circ\pi^i)-||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2}.$$

Thus

$$\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Psi\circ\pi^i)\omega^n=\sum_{k\leq i}\deg(Q^k)=\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F\circ\pi^i)-||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, for each projection π^i_j in our sequence along the Yang-Mills flow, we have the following formula:

$$||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2} = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F - \Psi)\circ\pi^i)\omega^n.$$

And because the eigenvalues of π^i are either 0 or 1, it follows that

$$||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2} \leq \int_X |\Lambda F - \Psi|\omega^n. \tag{4.18}$$

This gives:

$$||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2} \leq ||\Lambda F - \Psi||_{L^1} \leq ||\Lambda F - \Psi||_{L^2},$$

where the last inequality follows since the volume of X is one. Squaring both sides gives $||\nabla\pi^i||^4_{L^2} \leq Y(t)$, and thus $||\nabla\pi^i(t_m)||^4_{L^2}$ goes to zero as m goes to infinity. Therefore the square root $||\nabla\pi^i(t_m)||^2_{L^2}$ goes to zero as m goes to infinity as well.

We now show $||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2}$ goes to zero for all time t approaching infinity. To do so we prove a simple differential inequality. We apply the Chern-Weil formula to the projection evloving along the flow, and take the derivative in time:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2} &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\partial_t\Lambda F\pi^i)\omega^n + \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F\partial_t\pi^i)\omega^n \\ &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\bar{\Delta}\Lambda F\pi^i)\omega^n - \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F\pi^i\Lambda F(I-\pi^i))\omega^n,\end{aligned}$$

Note the second term on the right is non-positive. Now we now integrate by parts twice:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2} &\leq \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j\nabla_{\bar{k}}\pi^i)\omega^n \\ &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F(g^{j\bar{k}}\tilde{\nabla}_j\nabla_{\bar{k}}\pi^i + g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_j\pi^i\nabla_{\bar{k}}\pi^i - g^{j\bar{k}}\nabla_{\bar{k}}\pi^i\nabla_j\pi^i))\omega^n \\ &\leq -\int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F\pi^i\Lambda F(I-\pi^i))\omega^n + C||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2} \leq C||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves $||\nabla\pi^i||^2_{L^2}$ goes to zero for all time t approaching infinity.

We now return to (4.17). Set $g(t) = ||\nabla\Psi||^2_{L^2}$. We have seen that both $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ go to zero for all t approaching infinity. Pick a $t \in [m+1, m+2)$. Integrating both sides of (4.17) from t_m to t we get

$$\int_{t_m}^t \partial_s Y(s)ds \leq \int_{t_m}^t f(s) + g(s)ds,$$

which implies

$$Y(t) \leq Y(t_m) + 2\sup_{s\in(m,m+2)}(f(s)+g(s)).$$

Sending m to infinity we see $Y(t)$ goes to zero. Thus there exists an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure along the Donaldson heat flow. □

At this point we can now prove Theorem 2 as stated in the introduction, generalizing a result of Atiyah and Bott. First we review some notation. Consider a flag $\mathcal{F}$ of subbundles:

$$0 = E^0 \subset E^1 \subset \cdots \subset E^q = E.$$

Define $\mathcal{F}$ to be slope decreasing if $\mu(E^1) > \mu(E^2) > ... > \mu(E)$. Let $\mathcal{Q}^i = E^i/E^{i-1}$, and recall that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F})^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{q} \mu(\mathcal{Q}^i)^2 rk(\mathcal{Q}^i).$$

We now prove that for all $\mathcal{F}$ slope drecreasing:

$$\inf_A ||\Lambda F_A||^2_{L^2} = \sup_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi(\mathcal{F})^2.$$

Proof. We begin by showing $\sup_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi(\mathcal{F})^2 = ||\Psi_H||^2_{L^2}$. Since we already know the supremum is attained if $\mathcal{F}$ is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E, all we need is $||\Psi_H||^2_{L^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \mu(Q^i)^2 rk(Q^i)$, which follows directly from (4.15). We drop the H from Ψ_H since this norm is independent of metric. To concluded we show $\inf_A ||\Lambda F_A||^2_{L^2} = ||\Psi||^2_{L^2}$. One inequality follows from Proposition 7, which states $||\Lambda F_H||^2_{L^2} \geq ||\Psi||^2_{L^2}$ for all metrics H. The infimum is now obtained by taking a sequence of metrics H_t where $t \longrightarrow \infty$ along the Donaldson heat flow. ☐

We now demonstrate how Proposition 8 gives an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure along the Yang-Mills flow. First we state a fact about adjoints. In local coordinates, the adjoint of an endomorpism A with respect to the metric H is given by

$$A^{*\alpha}{}_{\beta} = H^{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \overline{A^{\rho}{}_{\gamma}} H_{\bar{\rho}\beta}.$$

Notice now that if we wanted to compute the adjoint with respect to the metric H_0, denoted by $*_0$, we have

$$A^{*_0\alpha}{}_{\beta} = H_0^{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \overline{A^{\rho}{}_{\gamma}} H_{0\bar{\rho}\beta} = H_0^{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} H_{\bar{\gamma}\nu} H^{\nu\bar{\kappa}} \overline{A^{\sigma}{}_{\kappa}} H_{\bar{\sigma}\eta} H^{\eta\bar{\rho}} H_{0\bar{\rho}\beta} = h^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} A^{*\nu}{}_{\eta} h^{-1\eta}{}_{\beta}.$$

Thus in matrix notation we have $A^{*_0} = hA^*h^{-1}$. We now see that $||\Lambda F||^2_{L^2(H)} = ||\Lambda F_A||^2_{L^2(H_0)}$, since by (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Lambda F||^2_{L^2(H)} &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F \Lambda F^*)\omega^n = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(w^{-1}\Lambda F_A w(w^{-1}\Lambda F_A w)^*)\omega^n \\ &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_A h \Lambda F_A^* h^{-1})\omega^n = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_A \Lambda F_A^{*_0})\omega^n = ||\Lambda F_A||^2_{L^2(H_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we need to relate our projections evolving along the Donaldson heat flow to projections evolving along the Yang-Mills flow. In the case of the Donaldson heat flow, the orthogonal projection π_t onto a fixed subsheaf $S \subset E$ evolves due to the fact that the metric H is changing. Along the Yang-Mills flow, our metric H_0 is fixed, however the subsheaf S is acted on by the complexified gauge transformation w. Thus the projection π_w onto $w(S)$ evolves as well.

Lemma 3. *The two evolving projections are related as follows*

$$\pi_w = w\pi_t w^{-1}$$

Proof. It is immediately clear that $(w\pi_t w^{-1})^2 = w\pi_t w^{-1}$, so $w\pi_t w^{-1}$ is a projection onto the subsheaf $w(S)$. We complete the lemma by showing it is unitary with respect to H_0.

$$(w\pi_t w^{-1})^{*_0} = w^{-1}(\pi_t)^{*_0}w = h^{-1/2}h\pi_t^* h^{-1}h^{1/2} = w\pi_t w^{-1}.$$

$\square$

From this lemma we see that $w\Psi_t w^{-1} = \Psi_w$, where Ψ_t is evolving along the Donaldson Heat flow and Ψ_w is evolving along the Yang-Mills flow. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
||\Lambda F_t - \Psi_t||^2_{L^2(H)} &= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((w^{-1}\Lambda F_A w - \Psi_t)(w^{-1}\Lambda F_A w - \Psi_t)^*)\omega^n \\
&= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_A - w\Psi_t w^{-1})h(\Lambda F_A - w\Psi_t w^{-1})^* h^{-1})\omega^n \\
&= \int_X \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda F_A - \Psi_w)(\Lambda F_A - \Psi_w)^{*_0})\omega^n \\
&= ||\Lambda F_A - \Psi_w||^2_{L^2(H_0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that the Yang-Mills flow realizes an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure as well, proving Theorem 1. From this point on we abuse notation and also refer to the endomorphism evolving along the Yang-Mills flow as Ψ_t, and which endomorphism we are using will be clear from context.

5 Construction of an isomorphism

In this section we use Theorem 1 to better understand our limiting connection A_∞, and using our previous work ([12],[13]) we are able to prove Theorem 3. We recall our basic setup. Let A_t be a family of connections evolving along the Yang-Mills flow. By a result of Hong and Tian [11], there exists a subsequence of connections A_j which converge in C^∞ (on $X\backslash Z_{an}$ and modulo unitary gauge transformations), to a Yang-Mills connection A_∞. Thus, always working on $X\backslash Z_{an}$, we have a sequence of holomorphic structures $(E, \bar\partial_j)$ which converge in C^∞ to a holomorphic structure on a (possibly) different bundle $(E_\infty, \bar\partial_\infty)$.

We can now identity the Harder-Narasimhan type of the limiting connection A_∞. Since A_∞ is Yang-Mills, we have that ΛF_∞ solves the following equation:

$$-i\bar\partial_\infty \Lambda F_\infty + i\partial_\infty \Lambda F_\infty = 0.$$

In particulart ΛF_∞ has locally constant eigenvalues, which means that about any point in $X \backslash Z_{an}$, we can choose coordinates so that ΛF_∞ has the following form:

$$\Lambda F_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 I_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 I_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_p I_p \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.19}$$

Here I_i are identity matrices whose rank is determined by the multiplicity of each eigenvalue λ_i. Assume that the eigenvalues are decreasing $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_q$. Now because E realizes an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure along the Yang-Mills flow, we can precisely identify the eigenvalues ΛF_∞, so $\lambda_i = \mu(Q^i)$, and $rk(I_i) = rk(Q^i)$.

Furthermore, because ΛF_∞ is of this special form, we know it will decompose E_∞ into a direct sum of stable bundles:

$$E_\infty = \hat{Q}^1_\infty \oplus \hat{Q}^2_\infty \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{Q}^q_\infty, \tag{5.20}$$

each admitting an induced smooth Hermitian-Einstein connection. Let $Z = Z_{an} \cup Z_{alg}$. Working on $X \backslash Z$, we prove the direct sum (5.20) is isomorphic to the graded double filtration $Gr^{hns}(E)$, which is the subject of the following proposition:

Proposition 9. *Working with* (5.20) *above, on* $X \backslash Z$ *each* $\hat{Q}^i_\infty$ *is isomorphic to a specific stable quotient from* $Gr^{hns}(E)$.

We prove this proposition at the end of this section. First we need some convergence results. Consider the L^2_1 projections which define the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E:

$$0 \subset \pi^0 \subset \pi^1 \subset \pi^2 \cdots \subset \pi^p \subset E. \tag{5.21}$$

Recall that along the Yang-Mills flow we have a sequence of endomorphisms w_j which define the action given by (2.9). The action of w_j also produces a sequence of filtrations $\{\pi^i_j\}$, where each π^i_j is defined by orthogonal projection onto the subsheaf $w_j(\pi^i)$. Our first goal is to show that this sequence of filtrations converges along a subsequence.

We use two main assumptions to show convergence of a sequence of projections, then show these assumptions hold in our particular case.

Proposition 10. *Let* π *be the* L^2_1 *projection associated to a subsheaf* $\mathcal{F} \subset E$, *and let* $Z(\mathcal{F})$ *be the singular set of* $\mathcal{F}$. *Let* A_j *be a sequence of connections along the Yang-Mills flow. The action of* w_j *produces a sequence of projections* $\{\pi_j\}$ *defined by orthogonal projection onto the subsheaf* $w_j(\pi)$. *Assume that:*

i) For any compact subset $K \subset X \backslash (Z_{an} \cup Z(\mathcal{F}))$, *we have* $A_j \longrightarrow A_\infty$ *in* $C^\infty(K)$.

ii) $||\bar{\partial}_j \pi_j||^2_{L^2} \longrightarrow 0$.

Then there exists a subsequence of projections (still denoted π_j*) which converges in* L^2_1 *to a limiting subsheaf* π_∞. *Furthermore, under the same assumptions the limiting projection* π_∞ *is smooth away from* $Z_{an} \cup Z(\mathcal{F})$.

We note that assumption *ii)* gives that π_∞ splits E_∞ holomorphically. A similar proposition is proved in [12], however we include all the details here for the readers convenience.

Proof. By assumption *ii)* we have $||\bar{\partial}_j\pi_j||^2_{L^2}$ goes to zero as $j \to \infty$. Beacuse $\pi_j = \pi_j^*$ it follows that $|\bar{\partial}_j\pi_j|^2 = |\partial_j\pi_j|^2$, thus we have $\partial_j\pi_j$ is uniformly bounded in L^2 and π_j converges along a subsequence to a weak limit π_∞ in L^2_1. We must show that π_∞ is a weakly holomorphic subbundle as defined in [19] or [23], and thus represents a coherent subsheaf. This means we have to show $(I - \pi_\infty)\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty = 0$ in L^2. Working on a compact set K specified in assumption *i)*, we have:

$$\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_j = \bar{\partial}_j\pi_j + (\bar{\partial}_\infty - \bar{\partial}_j)\pi_j,$$

so it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} &\leq ||\bar{\partial}_j\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} + ||(\bar{\partial}_\infty - \bar{\partial}_j)\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} \\ &\leq ||\bar{\partial}_j\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} + ||A_j - A_\infty||_{L^\infty(K)}||\pi_j||_{L^2(K)}, \end{aligned}$$

We have that $A_j \to A_\infty$ in $L^\infty(K)$ by assumption *i)*. Because $||\bar{\partial}_j\pi_j||_{L^2} \to 0$ it follows that $||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} \to 0$. Finally, from the simple formula:

$$\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty = \bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_j + \bar{\partial}_\infty(\pi_\infty - \pi_j),$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty||_{L^2(K)} &\leq ||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} + ||\bar{\partial}_\infty(\pi_\infty - \pi_j)||_{L^2(K)} \\ &= ||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_j||_{L^2(K)} + ||\pi_\infty - \pi_j||_{L^2_1(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side is independent of j, so we would like to send j to infinity proving $||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty||_{L^2(K)} = 0$. We have to be careful about the second term on the right since π_j only converges to π_∞ weakly in L^2_1. However, we can achieve strong L^2_1 convergence along a subsequence. Now equation (2.6) describes how a connection decomposes onto subbundles π_j with quotient Q_j. From this formula we see that the second fundamental form is just one component of the connection A_j, so we have:

$$\int_K |\tilde{\nabla}_j(\bar{\partial}_j\pi_j)|^2\omega^n \leq \int_K |\nabla_j(A_j)|^2\omega^n \leq C,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}_j$ is the induced connection on $Hom(Q_j, \pi_j)$. The bound on the right follows from assumption *i)*. Thus π_j is bounded in L^2_2, and thus along a subsequence we have strong convergence in L^2_1. It follows that $||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty||_{L^2(K)} = 0$. This holds independent of which compact set K we choose, so

$$||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty||_{L^2(X\setminus Z_{an})} = ||\bar{\partial}_\infty\pi_\infty||_{L^2(X)} = 0,$$

since $Z_{an} \cup Z(\mathcal{F})$ has complex codimension two. Thus π_∞ is a weakly holomorphic L^2_1 subbundle of $(E_\infty, \bar{\partial}_\infty)$. Furthermore, because the eigenvalues of the projections π_j are either zero or one, we know that rk(π_∞)=rk(π_j). It also follows that $\mu(\pi) = \mu(\pi_\infty)$, since degree does not depend on a choice of metric.

We now prove π_∞ is smooth away from $Z_{an} \cup Z(\mathcal{F})$. By (2.6) we know the C^k norm of the second fundamental form γ^j associated to each subbundle is less than the C^k norm of the connection ∇:

$$||\gamma^j(\phi)||_{C^k} \leq ||\nabla(\phi)||_{C^k}.$$

In particular, considering our convergent subsequence of connections along the Yang-Mills flow, we have on compact subsets K away from the singular set:

$$||(\gamma^j - \gamma^\infty)(\phi)||_{C^k(K)} \leq ||(\nabla^j - \nabla^\infty)(\phi)||_{C^k(K)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus we have smooth convergence of second fundamental forms, and because $\gamma^j = \bar{\partial}_j \pi_j$, we know we get smooth convergence of the projections π_j.

□

We now show that the assumptions of Proposition 10 hold for the projections that make up the Harder-Narasimhan filtration (2.2). By taking a subsequence of connections along the Yang-Mills flow, assumption *i)* is clear from the result of Hong and Tian [11] (also, see [22]). For assumption *ii)*, recall inequality (4.18):

$$||\bar{\partial}_j \pi_j^i||_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_X |\Lambda F_j - \Psi_j| \omega^n.$$

E admits an L^2 approximate Hermitian structure along the Yang-Mills flow, thus assumption *ii)* holds for all subsheaves in (2.2). We therefore we get convergence to a limiting filtration away from Z:

$$\pi_\infty^1 \subset \cdots \subset \pi_\infty^p = E_\infty.$$

In the following lemma we prove two important facts about the quotients $Q_\infty^i = \pi_\infty^i / \pi_\infty^{i-1}$:

Lemma 4. *Each quotient $Q_\infty^i = \pi_\infty^i / \pi_\infty^{i-1}$ is semi-stable. Furthermore, E_∞ splits as a direct sum:*

$$E_\infty = Q_\infty^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_\infty^{p-1}. \tag{5.22}$$

Proof. We begin with the subsheaf of highest rank π_∞^{p-1}. Because the second fundamental form $||\bar{\partial}\pi_\infty^{p-1}||_{L^2}^2 = 0$, the induced curvature on Q_∞^p is just

$$\Lambda F_\infty^{Q^p} = (I - \pi_\infty^{p-1}) \circ \Lambda F_\infty \circ (I - \pi_\infty^{p-1}).$$

Thus, because $rk(I_{p-1}) = rk(I - \pi_\infty^{p-1})$, we know $\Lambda F_\infty^{Q^p} = \lambda_p I_p$ (where the eigenvaule λ_p is defined in (5.19)). So Q_∞^p admits a Hermitian-Einstein connection, and thus it is semi-stable.

Now, $||\bar{\partial}\pi_\infty^{p-1}||_{L^2}^2 = 0$ implies that E_∞ splits as a direct sum $E_\infty = \pi_\infty^{p-1} \oplus Q_\infty^p$. This splitting, along with the fact that $||\bar{\partial}\pi_\infty^{p-2}||_{L^2}^2 = 0$, implies the second fundamental form with respect to the inclusion $\pi_\infty^{p-2} \subset \pi_\infty^{p-1}$ is zero, from which it follows that:

$$\Lambda F_\infty^{Q^{p-1}} = (\pi_\infty^{p-1} - \pi_\infty^{p-2}) \circ \Lambda F_\infty \circ (\pi_\infty^{p-1} - \pi_\infty^{p-2}).$$

We continue in this way down the entire filtration. Each Q^i_∞ admits a Hermitian-Einstein connection, and thus it is semi-stable. The decomposition (5.22) follows as well.

$\square$

Because each Q^i_∞ is semi-stable admitting a Hermitian-Einstein connection, we know Q^i_∞ will decompose into a direct sum of stable bundles. These stable bundles make up the direct sum (5.20), and it is on this level that we must construct the isomorphism with $Gr^{hns}(E)$.

Lemma 5. *Given a sequence of connections A_j along the Yang-Mills flow, the induced connections on Q^i realize an L^1 approximate Hermitian-Einstein structure*

Proof. For a subbundle π^i in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, the induced curvature satisfies the following inequality:

$$\int_X |\Lambda F^{S^i}|\omega^n \leq \int_X |\pi^i \circ \Lambda F \circ \pi^i|\omega^n + ||\bar{\partial}\pi^i||^2_{L^2}.$$

Now, because the second fundamental form for the inclusion $\pi^{i-1} \subset \pi^i$ is given by $\bar{\partial}\pi^{i-1} - \bar{\partial}\pi^i$, the induced curvature on $Q^i = S^i/S^{i-1}$ satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X |\Lambda F^{Q^i}|\omega^n \;\; &\leq \;\; \int_X |(I - \pi^{i-1}) \circ \Lambda F^{S^i} \circ (I - \pi^{i-1})|\omega^n + ||\bar{\partial}\pi^i||^2_{L^2} \\ &\quad + ||\bar{\partial}\pi^{i-1}||^2_{L^2} + 2||\bar{\partial}\pi^i||_{L^2}||\bar{\partial}\pi^{i-1}||_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Putting the last two inequalities together we see:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X |\Lambda F^{Q^i}|\omega^n \;\; &\leq \;\; \int_X |(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}) \circ \Lambda F \circ (\pi^i - \pi^{i-1})|\omega^n + 2||\bar{\partial}\pi^i||^2_{L^2} \\ &\quad + ||\bar{\partial}\pi^{i-1}||^2_{L^2} + 2||\bar{\partial}\pi^i||_{L^2}||\bar{\partial}\pi^{i-1}||_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, along a subsequence A_j we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X |\Lambda F^{Q^i}_j - \mu(Q^i)I|\omega^n \;\; &\leq \;\; \int_X |(\pi^i - \pi^{i-1}) \circ (\Lambda F_j - \Psi_j) \circ (\pi^i - \pi^{i-1})|\omega^n + 2||\bar{\partial}_j\pi^i_j||^2_{L^2} \\ &\quad + ||\bar{\partial}_j\pi^{i-1}_j||^2_{L^2} + 2||\bar{\partial}_j\pi^i_j||_{L^2}||\bar{\partial}_j\pi^{i-1}_j||_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we apply (4.18) to get the desired estimate:

$$\int_X |\Lambda F^{Q^i}_j - \mu(Q^i)I|\omega^n \leq 6\int_X |\Lambda F_j - \Psi_j|\omega^n.$$

This completes the lemma. $\square$

We now turn to convergence of the Seshadri filtrations. Since each quotient Q^i in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is semi-stable, it admits a Seshadri filtration

$$0 \subset \tilde{S}_i^1 \subset \tilde{S}_i^2 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{S}_i^q = Q^i, \tag{5.23}$$

where $\mu(\tilde{S}_i^k) = \mu(Q^i)$ for all k, and each quotient $\tilde{Q}_i^k = \tilde{S}_i^k / \tilde{S}_i^{k-1}$ is torsion free and stable. Here, just as in Section 2.1, the subscript i on $\tilde{S}_i^k$ denotes that we are working with the Seshadri filtration from the i-th quotient from the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Let

$$0 \subset \tilde{\pi}_i^1 \subset \tilde{\pi}_i^2 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{\pi}_i^{q-1} \subset Q^i$$

be the filtration of L_1^2 projections corresponding to (5.23). We show for all k that the sequence of projections $(\tilde{\pi}_i^k)_j$ converges to a limiting projection $(\tilde{\pi}_i^k)_\infty$ in L_1^2 along a subsequence. To do so we need to check that this sequence satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 10. For the first assumption, it is enough to note that by Proposition 10, the projections π^i are smooth away from the singular set Z, and thus the induced connections on the quotients Q^i converge in C^∞ on compact subsets away from Z. For assumption ii), we use the following modification of the Chern-Weil formula:

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_i^k) = \mu(Q^i) + \frac{1}{rk(\tilde{S}_i^k)}\Big(\int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F_j^{Q^i} - \mu(Q^i)I) \circ (\tilde{\pi}_i^k)_j)\omega^n - ||\bar{\partial}_j(\pi_i^k)_j||_{L^2}^2\Big). \tag{5.24}$$

Because $\mu(\tilde{S}_i^k) = \mu(Q^i)$, we have

$$||\bar{\partial}_j(\tilde{\pi}_i^k)_j||_{L^2}^2 = \int_X \mathrm{Tr}((\Lambda F_j^{Q^i} - \mu(Q^i)I) \circ (\tilde{\pi}_i^k)_j)\omega^n,$$

which goes to zero by Lemma 5. Thus we can apply Proposition 10 to $(\tilde{\pi}_i^k)_j$, and get that the Seshadri filtration converges to a limiting filtration:

$$0 \subset (\tilde{\pi}_i^1)_\infty \subset (\tilde{\pi}_i^2)_\infty \subset \cdots \subset (\tilde{\pi}_i^{q-1})_\infty \subset Q_\infty^i.$$

Since the norms of the second fundamental forms go to zero, this filtration decomposes Q_∞^i into a direct sum of quotients $(\tilde{Q}_i^k)_\infty$. In fact, at this point in the argument we can apply the author's previous work to construct an isomorphism between $\bigoplus_k \tilde{Q}_i^k$ and $\bigoplus_k (\tilde{Q}_i^k)_\infty$, which follows exactly from Theorem 1 from [13] . Thus, on $X \backslash Z$ we have an isomorphism between Q_∞^i and $Gr^s(Q^i)$. In fact, as described explicitly in the proof of Theorem 1 from [13], the construction of an isomorphism Q_∞^i and $Gr^s(Q^i)$ starts by considering the subsheaf of lowest rank from $Gr^s(Q^i)$, and working with subsheaves of higher and higher rank until an isomorphism has been constructed for the entire filtration. Since this process is independent of i, applying this argument inductively to each quotient sheaf Q^i, we construct an isomorphism between $Gr^{hns}(E)$ and $\bigoplus_i \bigoplus_k (\tilde{Q}_i^k)_\infty$. Since the direct sum of quotients from any Seshadri filtration is unique, we know $\bigoplus_i \bigoplus_k (\tilde{Q}_i^k)_\infty$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_p \hat{Q}_\infty^p$ (from (5.20)), proving Proposition 9.

We have now constructed, on $X\backslash Z$, the following isomorphism:

$$Gr^{hns}(E) \cong E_\infty. \tag{5.25}$$

In order to prove Theorem 3, we need to show this isomorphism can be extended to an isomorphism between $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$ and the Bando-Siu extension $\hat{E}_\infty$ on all of X. As a first step we show that E_∞ can be extended over Z as the reflexive sheaf $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$. To do so, notice that:

$$\Gamma(X\backslash Z, Gr^{hns}(E)) \cong \Gamma(X\backslash Z, Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}), \tag{5.26}$$

since $Gr^{hns}(E)$ is locally free on $X\backslash Z$. Since all holomorphic functions can be extended over Z by a result of Shiffman from [18], because $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$ is reflexive it is defined by $Hom(Gr^s(E)^*, \mathcal{O})$, which allows us to see that:

$$\Gamma(X\backslash Z, Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}) \cong \Gamma(X, Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}).$$

Combining this isomorphism with (5.26) we have

$$\Gamma(X\backslash Z, Gr^{hns}(E)) \cong \Gamma(X, Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}). \tag{5.27}$$

Thus, using (5.25), we see that E_∞ extends over the singular set Z as the reflexive sheaf $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$.

As stated in [3], the existence of a Bando-Siu extension $\hat{E}_\infty$ is a consequence of Bando's removable singularity theorem [2] and Siu's slicing theorem [20]. Aside from those references, we also direct the reader to [13] for a detailed description of the Bando-Siu sheaf extention in this case. The relevant fact for us now is the uniqueness of the sheaf extention which is proven in [20]. This uniqueness theorem is characterized by the fact that given any other reflexive extension (in our case $Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$), there exists a sheaf isomorphism $\phi : \hat{E}_\infty \longrightarrow Gr^{hns}(E)^{**}$ on X, which restricts to the isomorphism constructed in Proposition 9 on $X\backslash Z$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Thus even through we do not know whether Z_{an} depends on the subsequence A_j, the limiting reflexive sheaf $\hat{E}_\infty$ (defined on all of X) is canonical and does not depend on the choice of subsequence. We have the following corollary of Theorem 3:

Corollary 2. *The algebraic singular set Z_{alg} is contained in the analytic singular set Z_{an}.*

Proof. We prove $Z_{alg} \subseteq Z_{an}$. Suppose there exists a point $x_0 \in Z_{alg}$ which is not in Z_{an}. We know there exists a quotient $\tilde{Q}_i^k$ from $Gr^{hns}(E)$ such that $\tilde{Q}_i^k$ is not locally free at x_0. Yet by Theorem 3 we know Q^i is isomorphic to some Q^i_∞ from the direct sum $E_\infty = \oplus_p \hat{Q}^p_\infty$, and since E_∞ is a vector bundle off Z_{an} we know $\tilde{Q}_i^k$ is locally free there.

□

It would be quite valuable to know the other set inclusion, proving that in fact $Z_{alg} = Z_{an}$. This would show that the bubbling set Z_{an} is unique and canonical, and does not depend on the subsequence we choose along the Yang-Mills flow. However, to do so a much more detailed analysis of the singular set is needed.

References

[1] Atiyah, M.F. and R. Bott, "The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces", Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A **308** (1983), 532-615.

[2] Bando, S., "Removable singularities for holomorphic vector bundles", Tohoku Math. J. (2) **43** (1991), no. 1, 61-67.

[3] Bando, S. and Y.-T. Siu, "Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics", Geometry and Analysis on Complex Manifolds, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (1994), 39-50.

[4] Daskalopoulos, G. and R. Wentworth, "Convergence properties of the Yang-Mills flow on Kähler surfaces", J. Reine Angew. Math. **575** (2004), 69-99.

[5] Daskalopoulos, G. and R. Wentworth, "On the blow-up set of the Yang-Mills flow on Kähler surfaces", Math. Z. **256** (2007), no. 2, 301-310.

[6] Donaldson, S.K., "Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex angebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **50** (1985), 1-26.

[7] Donaldson, S.K., "Infinite determinants, stable bundles, and curvature", Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 231-247.

[8] Donaldson, S.K., "Lower bounds on the Calabi functional", J. Differential Geometry **70** (2005), 453-472.

[9] Donaldson, S.K., and P.B. Kronheimer, "The geometry of four-manifolds", Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1990).

[10] Griffiths, P. and J. Harris, "Principles of Algebraic Geometry", John Wiley & Sons, (1978).

[11] Hong, M.-C. and G. Tian, "Asymptotical behaviour of the Yang-Mills flow and singular Yang-Mills connections", Math. Ann. **330** (2004), 441-472.

[12] Jacob, A., "Existence of approximate Hermitian-Einstein structures on semi-stable bundles", arXiv:1012.1888v1, [math.DG].

[13] Jacob, A., "The limit of the Yang-Mills flow on semi-stable bundles", arXiv:1104.4767v1, [math.DG].

[14] Kobayashi, S., "Differential geometry of complex vector bundles", Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, **15**. Kanô Memorial Lectures, **5**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1987).

[15] McFeron, D., "Remarks on some non-linear heat flows in Kähler geometry", Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University (2009).

[16] Narasimhan, M.S., and C.S. Seshadri, "Stable and unitary bundles on a compact Riemann surface", Math. Ann. **82** (1965), 540-564.

[17] Phong, D.H., N. Sesum, and J. Sturm, "Multiplier ideal sheaves and the Kähler-Ricci flow", Commun. Analysis and Geometry **15** (2007) no. 3, 613-632

[18] Shiffman, B., "On the removal of singularities of analytic sets", Michigan Math. J. **15** (1968), 111-120.

[19] Simpson, C., "Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization", J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), no. 4, 867-918.

[20] Siu, Y.-T., "A Hartogs type extension theorem for coherent analytic sheaves", Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), no. 1, 166-188

[21] Siu, Y.-T., "Lectures on Hermitian-Einstein metrics for stable bundles and Kähler-Einstein metrics", Birkäuser Verlag, Basel, (1987).

[22] Uhlenbeck, K., "Connectons with L^p bounds on curvature", Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), no. 1, 31-42.

[23] Uhlenbeck, K., and S.-T. Yau, "On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles", Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **39-S** (1986), 257-293.

[24] Weinkove, B., "Singularity formation in the Yang-Mills flow", Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. **19**, no. 2, (2004), 211-220.

[25] Yau, S.T., "On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation I", Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978) 339-411

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027
e-mail: ajacob@math.columbia.edu